Columbia Country Club Chevy Chase, Maryland 20815

November 17, 2008

VIA EMAIL AND OVERNIGHT DELIVERY

Mr. Michael D. Madden Project Manager, Office of Planning Maryland Transit Authority 6 St. Paul Street Baltimore, MD 21202

Re: Purple Line

Dear Mr. Madden:

Columbia Country Club requests that MTA provide to us the information identified in the attachment to this letter. The requested information consists of specified factual and technical material MTA utilized in developing the Purple Line Alternatives Analysis/Draft Environmental Impact Statement ("AA/DEIS"). Disclosure of the information is necessary for us, or any other member of the public, to meaningfully review, and comment upon, MTA's analysis of the Purple Line's costs and asserted user benefits.

We will be happy to visit with you to facilitate prompt release of the requested information in an efficient manner. We emphasize that we are seeking only copies of existing materials and records, many of which are in electronic format. Timely receipt of this information is essential in light of the January 14, 2009 deadline for submitting written comments on the AA/DEIS.

We assume that MTA will provide the requested information without the need for the Club to submit a formal request under governing public records laws, but we are prepared to make such a request if that becomes necessary. I will call to follow-up with you.

Very truly yours

Kevin P. Gallagher

Club Counsel

Attachment

A. Operating and Maintenance Cost Estimates

- 1. Please provide the estimated number of revenue vehicle hours, scheduled revenue vehicle miles and peak number of vehicles for each alternative used to develop the annual incremental operating and maintenance ("O&M") expenses shown in Tables 4-7 and 4-8 of the "Operating and Maintenance Cost Estimate Technical Report" ("O&M Technical Report").
- 2. Please provide the one-way track miles for each Bus Rapid Transit ("BRT") and Light Rail Transit ("LRT") used to develop the annual incremental O&M expenses shown in Tables 4-7 and 4-8 of the O&M Technical Report.
- 3. Please provide a corrected version of Table 4-1 of the O&M Technical Report.
- 4. Please provide the electronic workpapers in spreadsheet formats that detail the development of the annual incremental O&M expenses included in Tables 4-7 and 4-8 of the O&M Technical Report.
- 5. Please explain the link, if any, between the statistics shown in Table 4-6 of the O&M Technical Report to the statistics used to develop the annual incremental O&M Expenses in the same report.
- 6. Please provide the electronic workpapers in spreadsheet formats that detail the annual change in Systemwide Farebox Revenues included in table 5-2 of the Purple Line Alternatives Analysis Draft Environmental Impact Statement ("DEIS").

B. <u>Capital Cost Estimates</u>

- 7. Please provide in a spreadsheet format the Unit Cost Library ("UCL") included in Appendix A to the Capital Cost Estimating Methodology Technical Report ("Capital Cost Report").
- 8. Please provide an electronic copy of the cost information database used to develop the capital costs for each alternative as described in Sections 4.2.3 and 4.2.4 of the Capital Cost Report, including all links to the UCL and links to any separate databases which contain the quantity and units for each transit alternative.
- 9. Please provide electronic copies of the Federal Transit Authority ("FTA") Standard Cost Category ("SCC") Excel worksheets used to develop the cash flow projections for each alternative as described in Section 4.2.5 of the Capital Cost Report.
- 10. Please provide the electronic workpapers used to develop the capital cost estimates shown in Table 6-1 of the Capital Cost Report.

11. Please provide the electronic workpapers used to calculate the Annualized Capital Costs shown in Table 6-3 of the DEIS.

C. User Benefits

- 12. Please provide the electronic workpapers used to develop the Annualized Cost Per Hour of Unit Benefits shown in Tables 6-2 and 6-3 of the DEIS.
- 13. Please provide the electronic workpapers used to develop the Annualized Cost Per New Rider Relative to the No Build Alternative shown in Table 6-2 of the DEIS.

D. Travel Demand Forecasting Technical Report

- 14. Please provide the AECOM Transit original mode choice calibration report, including all mode choice coefficient values.
- 15. To the extent that the MTA recalibrated the MWCOG/AECOM Transit model, please provide the calibration report, including all mode choice coefficient values.
- 16. Please provide the model script files, all necessary input files, and all necessary supplemental programs to replicate the model run that represents the final calibration scenario of the mode choice model for this project. That is, the calibration run of the model immediately prior to its use in this study.
- 17. Please provide the electronic workpapers used to develop the estimate of transit link speed for each alternative described in Section 2 of the Travel Demand Forecasting Technical Report.
- 18. Please provide all workpapers supporting the figures shown in Table 3-58 ("Non-Included Attributes") in the Travel Demand Forecasting Technical Report.
- 19. For each alternative described in Section 2 of the Travel Demand Forecasting Technical Report, please provide the following AECOM Transit model input files:
 - a) Zonal walk times and percent walk to transit;
 - b) Highway networks and transit line files;
 - c) MWCOG station files:
 - d) Sidewalk links:
 - e) PnR links:
 - f) Transit station connector links; and
 - g) Any other special links.